## INTRODUCTION OF SAFETY AND QUALITY STANDARDS AMONG PRIVATE HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS IN THE REPUBLIC OF SRPSKA (BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA)

RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SECOND PHASE OF THE RESEARCH (NOVEMBER 2016)

RATIONALE FOR THE RESEARCH: The intervention studied under the research is the implementation of regulation (mandatory safety and quality standards) for private healthcare providers in the Republic of Srpska. The regulation has been in place since 2012, but not all private healthcare providers have adopted it yet. Adoption rates have differed among three different types of private healthcare providers (pharmacies, specialist practices and dental practices). All pharmacies and majority of specialist practices are contracted by the Health Insurance Fund of the Republic of Srpska, while private dental practices do not have contracts with the Fund for provision of dental services.

**RESEARCH QUESTION:** Why does the rate of adoption of mandatory safety and quality standards vary among private pharmacies, dental practices and specialist practices in the Republic of Srpska?

**METHODOLOGY:** The research began with in-depth interviews with the private healthcare provider (completed during the period November–December 2015), which provided insight into the perspectives of both adopters and non-adopters. In the second phase of the research, data was collected through a self-administered anonymous postal survey of the providers. The main purpose of this was to gather quantitative data on the experiences and attitudes of the providers, in order to allow for the assessment of the relative importance of different factors for the providers' adoption of standards.

Table 1: Response rates and structure of the sample

Type of provider	Providers in the sample (n)	Response rate (%)	Certification status of respondents (%)		
			Certified	Certification ongoing	Non- certified
Pharmacies	382	27,1	42,7	30,1	27,2
Specialist practices	83	53,0	27,9	37,2	34,9
Dental practices	188	40,9	0	22,1	77,9





## **Pharmacies Specialist practices Dental practices** ATTITUDE TOWARDS CERTIFICATION Positive attitude: Moderately negative attitude: Negative attitude • Facilitation of management in • Possible facilitation of practice pharmacies management • Improved organization of work • Possible improvement of safety • Improvement of safety and quality and quality of service of services **EXPECTATIONS FROM CERTIFICATION** • Patient satisfaction • Gain in professional status • Staff satisfaction • Staff satisfaction Patient satisfaction • Ability to contract services with • Priority in contracting services Staff satisfaction the Health Insurance Fund with the Health Insurance Fund Attracting additional patients • Reduced risk of paying fines • Reduced risk of harming the • Reduced risk of harming the during the inspections • Reduced risk of having court patients patients • Reduced risk of professional processes initiated by patients • Reduced risk of losing contract diseases and injuries with the Health Insurance Fund DISADVANTAGES OF CERTIFICATION • Financial burden Financial burden • Financial burden Administrative burden Administrative burden Administrative burden • Time-consuming education of • Time taken away from examining patients practice staff SOURCES OF INFORMATION • Agency for Certification, • Peers (certified providers), Accreditation and Health Care • Public Health Institute • Chamber of Dentists, • Public Health Institute Quality Improvement, • Peers (certified pharmacies), • Pharmaceutical Society, • Pharmaceutical Chamber INFLUENCE OF CHAMBERS AND PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS • More positive opinion than other • Less important than for other • Minor influence of professional respondents respondents associations • Clear positive attitude towards and • No clear attitude towards • Diverse influences by the interest in certification (both certification Chamber of Dentists chamber and professional No support provided association) Not sufficient information provided **OBSERVABILITY OF CERTIFICATION RESULTS** • Health inspection, • Health inspection, • Health inspection,

• General public

• Ministry of Health and Social

Welfare

Patients

• Health Insurance Fund,

Agency for
Certification,
Accreditation and
Health Care Quality
Improvement

- Provide information and education to private healthcare providers
- •Explore alternative approaches to covering assessment costs
- Organize events to present results of the certification process and to share experience of certified providers
- Publicly promote certified providers
- Explain purpose and importance of the certification process to general public

Ministry of Health and Social Welfare

- •Explore alternative approaches to covering assessment costs
- •Generate public support for certification process
- •Make more direct announcements and communicate with the private healthcare providers on certification
- •Define time needed for issuing decision on certification

Health Insurance Fund

- •Certification status should be one of the criteria for contracting
- •Promote use of certified providers' services

Inspectorate

•Check on the certification status during inspections

Medical chambers

- Publicly state official position on certification
- •Incorporate healthcare quality and safety in continuous education programs

Professional associations

•Support providers in complying with requirements of standards

Public Health Institute

- •Provide training on management of risks in infection control
- Provide assistance to private healthcare providers in the development of internal procedures

## **CONCLUSION**

Findings of the survey partially confirmed the conclusions of the first phase:

- 1. Perceived gains in professional status have some positive but not crucial influence on adoption of safety and quality standards by private healthcare providers.
- 2. Fear of negative financial consequences (inspection fines and risk of losing contract with the Health Insurance Fund of the Republic of Srpska) significantly increases adoption of safety and quality standards. Financial disincentives related with non-adoption of standards are lowest for dental practices (leading non-adopter among private providers), whose services are not contracted by the Fund.
- 3. Availability of information on safety and quality standards increased their adoption.
- 4. Opinions conveyed to private healthcare providers by peers generally have a negative influence on adoption of safety and quality standards.
- 5. Perceived attitude of chambers has a limited influence on adoption of safety and quality standards.
- 6. Level of support of professional associations to private healthcare providers in implementation of the certification does not have significant influence on the level of adoption of safety and quality standards.

The second phase of the research confirmed that rate of adoption of mandatory safety and quality standards continued to vary between different groups of private healthcare providers mainly due to (1) different level of fear of negative financial consequences and (2) different level of availability of information on safety and quality standards and certification process.





The research "Introduction of safety and quality standards among private healthcare providers in the Republic of Srpska (BiH)" is conducted with support from the Alliance for Health Policy and Systems Research, under technical services agreements with the World Health Organization (reference No 2015/538686-1 and 2016/655027-1). Duration of research: 2015 - 2017.

THE RESEARCH TEAM

Prof. dr Severin Rakić, Public

Health Institute of the

Republic of Srpska, Principal

Investigator

Prof. dr Budimka Novaković, Medical Faculty of Novi Sad University, Republic of Serbia, Co-investigator

Dr Siniša Stević, MSc, Agency for Certification, Accreditation, and Health Care Quality Improvement in the Republic of Srpska, Coinvestigator

Jelena Niškanović, PhD, Public Health Institute of the Republic of Srpska, Coinvestigator

The research team would like to express its gratitude to all private healthcare providers who participated in the research.

The research protocol was approved by the World Health Organization's Research Ethics Review Committee and the Ethical Board of the Public Health Institute of the Republic of Srpska. Further information on results of the second phase of the research is available at: